

STATE OF WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

Jim Justice Governor BOARD OF REVIEW 2699 Park Avenue, Suite 100 Huntington, WV 25704 Bill J. Crouch Cabinet Secretary

January 24, 2017



RE: v. WVDHHR
ACTION NO.: 16-BOR-2855

Dear Ms.

Enclosed is a copy of the decision resulting from the hearing held in the above-referenced matter.

In arriving at a decision, the State Hearing Officer is governed by the Public Welfare Laws of West Virginia and the rules and regulations established by the Department of Health and Human Resources. These same laws and regulations are used in all cases to assure that all persons are treated alike.

You will find attached an explanation of possible actions you may take if you disagree with the decision reached in this matter.

Sincerely,

Todd Thornton State Hearing Officer Member, State Board of Review

Encl: Claimant's Recourse to Hearing Decision

Form IG-BR-29

cc: Elizabeth Mullins, Department Representative

WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES BOARD OF REVIEW

Defendant,

v. Action Number: 16-BOR-2855

WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES,

Movant.

DECISION OF STATE HEARING OFFICER

INTRODUCTION

This is the decision of the State Hearing Officer resulting from an administrative disqualification hearing for provided and the Movant on October 14, 2016. This hearing was held in accordance with the provisions found in Chapter 700 of the West Virginia Department of Health and Human Resources' Common Chapters Manual and Federal Regulations at 7 CFR §273.16. The hearing was convened on November 29, 2016.

The matter before the Hearing Officer arises from a request by the Movant for a determination as to whether the Defendant has committed an Intentional Program Violation and thus should be disqualified from the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) for 12 months.

At the hearing, the Movant appeared by Elizabeth Mullins. The Defendant was notified of the hearing but failed to appear, resulting in the hearing being held in the Defendant's absence. All witnesses were sworn and the following documents were admitted into evidence.

Movant's Exhibits:

D-1	Code of Federal Regulations, 7 CFR §273.16	
D-2	SNAP Claim Determination form and supporting documentation	
D-3	SNAP review documents, signed February 20, 2015	
D-4	Income verification for from from , dated	
	January 11, 2016 (date received)	
D-5	West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual (WVIMM), §1.2 (excerpt)	
D-6	WVIMM, §20.2	
D-7	WVIMM, §20.6 (excerpt)	
D-8	Administrative Disqualification Hearing documents	

16-BOR-2855

After a review of the record, including testimony, exhibits, and stipulations admitted into evidence at the hearing, and after assessing the credibility of all witnesses and weighing the evidence in consideration of the same, the Hearing Officer sets forth the following Findings of Fact.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1) The Defendant received an overissuance of SNAP benefits from March 2015 to August 2015 totaling \$2208. (Exhibit D-2)
- 2) The overissuance was based on the exclusion of the earned income of _____ a member of the Defendant's household from the calculation of her SNAP benefits.
- 3) This income was not included in the calculation of the Defendant's SNAP benefits because the Defendant failed to report it on a SNAP review document she signed on February 20, 2015. (Exhibit D-3)
- 4) The Movant presented income verification (Exhibit D-4) for Mr. who was employed at the time of this SNAP application.
- 5) The Movant contended the action of the Defendant to conceal information regarding her household income constitutes an Intentional Program Violation (IPV), and requested this hearing for the purpose of making that determination.
- 6) The Defendant has no prior IPV offenses.

APPLICABLE POLICY

The Code of Federal Regulations, 7 CFR §273.16(c) defines an IPV as having intentionally "concealed or withheld facts" for purposes of SNAP eligibility.

The West Virginia Income Maintenance Manual, Chapter 9.1.A.2.h, indicates a first offense IPV results in a one year disqualification from SNAP.

DISCUSSION

The Defendant did not appear for the hearing, and as such could not dispute facts presented by the Movant.

To show the Defendant committed an IPV, the Movant must prove, by clear and convincing evidence, that the Defendant intentionally concealed or withheld facts pertinent to her SNAP eligibility.

16-BOR-2855

The testimony and evidence presented by the Movant clearly show an action that meets the codified IPV definition. The Defendant made a false statement regarding her household income by signing a SNAP review document claiming zero household income while a household member was actively employed. The dollar amount and duration of the resulting overissuance is sufficient to indicate intent.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

Because the action of the Defendant constitutes an IPV, the Movant must disqualify the Defendant from receipt of SNAP benefits, and because the IPV is a first offense the disqualification period is one year.

DECISION

It is the finding of the State Hearing Officer that the Defendant committed an Intentional Program Violation. The Defendant will be disqualified from receipt of SNAP benefits for a period of one year, beginning March 1, 2017.

ENTERED thisDay of J	January 2017.
-	
	Todd Thornton
	State Hearing Officer

16-BOR-2855